

# meteoLCD Weblog

A weblog on climate, global change and climate measurements

« Marcel Thilmany +26Apr2009

The Copenhagen Synthesis Report: No, it ain't so! »

## Le mythe CO2




Comment Reblog Subscribe

I started reading this book (in French) a couple of days ago. C. Gerondeau is a polytechnician, i.e. a laureat from the Ecole Polytechnique, one of the most prestigious French university level institutions. He writes in a crystal clear manner that all fossil fuels (oil, gas, coal) that still are buried in the ground will be used, and that local or regional mitigation exercises are futile. CO2 levels will go up (he speculates they will double) and reach a plateau when all fossil fuels are exhausted (certainly before 2010 2100). This is not seen as a catastrophe: the consequences of higher CO2 levels will be benign, and human ingenuity will find Ersatz-products for the fossil fuels. The book makes for a very easy reading, because all sentences are logically structured, reasoning is clear and precise, and again and again it is shown that the alarmism/catatastrophism/climatism often show poor scientific understanding but strong political or environmental ambitions.

The book can be found at <http://www.amazon.fr>

23 June 2010:

The book can now be found in an English translation: [Climate: The Great Delusion](#)



Share this:



### Related

[NAP book \(3\)](#)

February 24, 2011

[CO2 avoidance by wind power](#)

December 7, 2014

[Climate trends at Diekirch,](#)

[Luxembourg: part 2c](#)

[\(atmospheric CO...](#)

[March 9, 2016](#)

This entry was posted on May 15, 2009 at 12:09 and is filed under 1. You can follow any responses to this entry through the [RSS 2.0](#) feed. You can [leave a response](#), or [trackback](#) from your own site.

### 2 Responses to “Le mythe CO2”

**Eddy Says:**

August 28, 2009 at 11:17 | [Reply](#)

This must be a mistake:

“certainly before 2010” should mean “certainly before 2100”? 🤔

Bis dann

den Ed

**Francis Massen Says:**

August 29, 2009 at 20:22 | [Reply](#)

Eddy, you are right: it should be 2100. Thanks!

Leave a comment